# TRANSLATION PATENT COOPERATION TREATY PCT INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 63289		FOR FURTHER ACTION	J	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application N	No.	International filing date (day/	(month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/EP2004/	053401	10.12.2004		24.12.2003
International Patent Classi	fication (IPC) or nation	onal classification and IPC		
G01 K7/32				
Applicant				
SENSEOR				
_	_	ninary examination report, est e applicant according to Articl	•	nternational Preliminary Examining Authority
2. This REPORT c	onsists of a total of _	9	sheets, including	this cover sheet.
3. This report is als	so accompanied by Al	NNEXES, comprising:		
a. (sent	t to the applicant and	to the International Bureau) a	total of 4	sheets, as follows:
	-			nended and are the basis for this report and/or
	sheets containing red Instructions).	ctifications authorized by this	Authority (see Rule	e 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative
	_		•	ders contain an amendment that goes beyond
	the disclosure in the Box.	e international application as f	iled, as indicated i	n item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental
b. (sent	t to the International 1	Bureau only) a total of (indicat	e type and number	of electronic carrier(s))
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	• 1	
related	related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see			
Section	n 802 of the Administ	rative Instructions).		
4. This report conta	ains indications relati	ng to the following items:		
Box No.	I Basis of the	report		
Box No.	II Priority			
Box No.	III Non-establis	shment of opinion with regard	to novelty, inventiv	ve step and industrial applicability
Box No.	IV Lack of unit	ty of invention		
Box No.	• •	atement under Article 35(2) well explanations supporting such		y, inventive step or industrial applicability;
Box No.	VI Certain docu	uments cited		
Box No.	VII Certain defe	ects in the international applica	tion	
Box No.	VIII Certain obse	ervations on the international a	pplication	
Date of submission of the	demand	Date of	f completion of this	s report
Date of submission of the demand  Date of completion of this report				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP  Aut		Author	ized officer	
Facsimile No.		Teleph	one No.	

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/053401

Box No.	I Basis of th	e report				
	th regard to the langu icated under this item	age, this report is based on the internation.	nal application in the language in	which it was filed, unless otherwise		
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:					
	international	search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))				
	publication o	of the international application (Rule 12.4)				
	international	preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/o	or 55.3)			
rec	_	ents of the international application, this ronse to an invitation under Article 14 are	<u> </u>	· ·		
	the international ap	oplication as originally filed/furnished				
$\succeq$	the description:					
	pages			as originally filed/furnished		
	pages*		received by this Authority on			
	pages*		received by this Authority on			
$\boxtimes$	the claims:					
	nos.			as originally filed/furnished		
	nos.*		as amended (togethe	er with any statement) under Article 19		
	nos.* 1-13		received by this Authority on	26.07.2005 with letter		
$\boxtimes$	1					
	the drawings:	- / <del>-</del>		11 61 1/6 . 1 1		
	sheets <u>1/5-5</u>			as originally filed/furnished		
_	sheets* 		received by this Authority on			
	a sequence listing a	and/or any related table(s) – see Suppleme	ental Box Relating to Sequence L	isting.		
3.	The amendments h	ave resulted in the cancellation of:				
	the description	on, pages				
	the claims, n	os.				
	the drawings	-1				
	the sequence	listing (specify):				
	any table(s) i	related to sequence listing (specify):				
4.	-	en established as if (some of) the amendr nsidered to go beyond the disclosure as file				
	the description	on, pages				
		os.				
		, sheets/figs				
		listing (specify):				
		related to sequence listing (specify):				
* If i		r all of those sheets may be marked "supe				

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/053401

Box	x No. V Reasoned state citations and ex	nent under Article 35(2) with regar planations supporting such stateme	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial apent	oplicability;
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims 1-14		YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	C1 :		<b>V</b> TC
	r v /	Claims 1-14		YES NO
	Industrial applicability (IA			YES
		Claims		NO
2.	Citations and explanations (R	le 70.7)		
	See Supplemen	al Box.		

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/053401

Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
The following the description	g observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by n, are made:
See	Supplemental Box.

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:

#### Box VIII:

- 1. The application does not fulfil the requirements set forth in PCT Article 6 because **claim 1** is not clear.
- 1.1 The feature in claim 1 whereby the angle of the buses to the direction of wave propagation complies with the expression to the nearest +/- 0.5 degrees is not mentioned in the description. As a result, claim 1 is not supported by the description, contrary to the requirements of PCT Article 6.

Moreover, the specification "to the nearest +/0.5 degrees" appears to be insufficient
considering that the angle is between 5 and 6
degrees (as in **claim 3**).

### Box V:

## Documents

- 2. Reference is made to the following documents:
  - D1: BUFF W ET AL: "UNIVERSAL PRESSURE AND
    TEMPERATURE SAW SENSOR FOR WIRELESS
    APPLICATIONS" PROCEEDINGS OF THE 1997 IEEE
    ULTRASONICS SYMPOSIUM. ONTARIO, CANADA, OCT. 5

- 8, 1997, IEEE ULTRASONICS SYMPOSIUM

PROCEEDINGS, NEW YORK, NY: IEEE, US, vol. VOL.

1, 5 October 1997, pages 359-362, XP000848493

ISBN: 0-7803-4154-6;

D2: EP-A-0 802 627 (NGK INSULATORS LTD) 22 October 1997.

Document D2 was not cited in the international search report. A copy of said document is attached as an annex.

# Novelty

- 3. The present application fulfils the requirements set forth in PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of **claims 1-13** complies with the requirement of novelty defined in PCT Article 33(2).
- 3. Document D1, which is considered to be the prior art closest to the subject matter of **claim 1**, describes (the references between parentheses apply to said document):
  - a remotely readable surface acoustic wave temperature sensor that comprises, on the surface of a quartz substrate having a cross section in direction Y' at an angle  $\theta$  to direction Y (the abstract and the paragraph entitled "Introduction"),:
    - at least two resonators comprising

transducers consisting of interdigitated electrodes connected to control and design buses in such a way that they have different characteristic operating frequencies (see the paragraphs entitled "Temperature Sensor", "Pressure Sensor" and "Sensor Design");

- a first resonator having a first surface acoustic wave propagation direction parallel to one of the substrate axes, and a second resonator having a surface acoustic wave propagation direction at a non-zero angle  $(\beta)$  to the propagation direction of said first resonator (see figure 5 and the paragraph entitled "Sensor Design").
- 3.2 It follows that the subject matter of **claim 1** differs from this known temperature sensor in that the control buses in the second transducer are at a non-zero angle to a line normal to the interdigitated electrodes of said second transducer and in that the angle complies with the expression given in claim 1.

As a result, the subject matter of **claim 1** is novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

3.3 Claims 2-13 are dependent on claim 1 and, as such, therefore also fulfil the PCT requirement of novelty.

#### Inventive step

- 4. The present application does not fulfil the requirements set forth in PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of **claims 1-13** does not involve an inventive step as defined in PCT Article 33(3).
- 4.1 The problem that the present invention is intended to solve (see paragraph 3.2) can therefore be considered to be that of compensating for the acoustic wave energy flux divergence relative to the surface acoustic wave propagation direction along the second transducer in an acoustic wave temperature sensor with a quartz substrate.
- 4.2 The solution proposed in **claim 1** of the present application is not considered to be inventive (PCT Article 33(3)), for the following reasons:

It is a routine technical step to provide "buses at a non-zero angle to a line normal to the interdigitated electrodes" in a surface acoustic wave device with a quartz substrate (see, for example, document D2, page 9, lines 38-43; figures 19 and 20). In document D2, the angled buses are used for the same purpose as in **claim 1**, namely, to compensate for acoustic wave energy flux divergence (see D2, page 9, line 40).

It would be obvious for a person skilled in the

art seeking to solve the stated problem to consider incorporating angled buses in the surface acoustic wave temperature sensor described in document D1.

What is more, the expression in **claim 1** is merely the mathematical expression of this known compensation. In the description, the origin of this expression is not explained but it is, for example, possible that the expression is the result of a polynomial regression of the measurement points. Determining a formula using regression would be a routine technical step for a person skilled in the art and does not involve an inventive step.

It follows that **claim 1** is not considered to be inventive (PCT Article 33(3)).

4.3 **Dependent claims 2-13** do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any one of the claims to which they refer, might define subject matter that fulfils the PCT requirement of inventive step, for the following reasons:

The features in **claims 2-13** would be routine technical practice to a person skilled in the art.